# Extracorporeal CO2 removal: The minimally invasive approach

Dott.ssa ELEONORA DUSCIO (1)(2), Dott. FRANCESCO CIPULLI (1), Dott. FRANCESCO VASQUES (1), Dott.ssa FRANCESCA COLLINO (1), Dott.ssa FRANCESCA RAPETTI (1), Dott. TOMMASO TONETTI (1), Dott.ssa FEDERICA ROMITTI (1), Prof. MICHAEL QUINTEL (1), Prof. LUCIANO GATTINONI (1)

(1) Department of Anesthesiology, Emergency and Intensive Care Medicine, University of Göttingen, Goettingen, Germania.
(2) Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italia.

Argomento: Insufficienza respiratoria acuta e ventilazione meccanica

## Background

Minimally invasive extracorporeal  $CO_2$  removal (ECCO<sub>2</sub>R) is a widely accepted supportive treatment in COPD patients. Conversely the potential of such technique in treating ARDS patients has still to be investigated. The aim of this study was to quantify the ECCO<sub>2</sub>R of a v-v apparatus (Estor ProLUNG Plus MD, Estor®) in an experimental model measuring artificial and natural lung  $CO_2$  removal in different conditions. We evaluated the efficiency of the system as absolute ECCO<sub>2</sub>R, ECCO<sub>2</sub>R/total VCO<sub>2</sub> ratio and decrease of mechanical ventilation.

### Methods

Eight healthy pigs (57.7  $\pm$  5 kg) where sedated, ventilated and connected to the Estor proLUNG system (surface 1.8 m2, priming volume 150 mL) through a 13 French catheter (Joline®). The ECCO<sub>2</sub>R was measured in different combinations of input PCO<sub>2</sub> (38.9  $\pm$  3.3, 65  $\pm$  5.7 and 90  $\pm$  12 mmHg), extracorporeal blood flow (100, 200, 300 and 400 mL/min) and gas flow (4, 6 and 12 L/min). At each setting we measured also natural lung CO<sub>2</sub> removal, lung mechanics and blood gasses.

### Results

 $ECCO_2R$  increased linearly with extracorporeal blood flow and input  $PCO_2$ , while it was not affected by gas flow. The output  $PCO_2$  was similar (7,5 ± 2.3, 8.9 ±3.2, 8.5 ± 3.1 mmHg, p0,064) among the input  $PCO_2$  groups regardless of the blood flow, suggesting that  $ECCO_2R$  was always maximized. Maximum  $ECCO_2R$  was 171 mL/min at an input  $PCO_2$  of 94 mmHg, and blood flow 400 mL/min. The  $ECCO_2R$  /total  $VCO_2$  ratio ranged between 0.7 and 1. At a  $PaCO_2$  of 55 mmHg an  $ECCO_2R$  /total  $VCO_2$ ratio of 50% allowed a minute ventilation of 2 L/min.

### Conclusion

Estor proLUNG system allows a relevant ECCO<sub>2</sub>R with consequent significative reduction of mechanical ventilation, therefore it should be evaluated in moderate-severe ARDS patients.