Esophageal balloon calibration for esophageal pressure evaluation during robotic urogynecological surgery

Dott. GIANLUIGI LAURO (1), Dott.ssa IOLANDA MARIANO (1), Dott.ssa ILARIA SGUAZZOTTI (1), Dott.ssa RAFFAELLA PERUCCA (1), Dott. ANTONIO MESSINA (1), Dott. DAVIDE COLOMBO (1), Prof.ssa ROSANNA VASCHETTO (1), Prof. ALESSANDR VOLPE (2), Prof.ssa DANIELA SURICO (3), Prof. FRANCESCO DELLA CORTE (1), Prof. FRANCESCO MOJOLI (4), Dott. GIANMARIA CAMMAROTA (1)

- (1) SCDU Anestesia e Rianimazione Ospedale Maggiore della Carità, Corso Mazzini 18, Novara, Novara/piemonte, Italia.
- (2) SCDU Urologia Ospedale Maggiore della Carità, Corso Mazzini 18, Novara, Novara/piemonte, Italia.
- (3) SCDU Ginecologia e Ostetricia Ospedale Maggiore della Carità, Corso Mazzin,18, Novara, Novara/italia, Italia.
- (4) Dipartimento di Anestesia e Rianimazione Policlinico universitario San Matteo, Pavia,pavia/lombardia, Italia.

Argomento: Insufficienza respiratoria acuta e ventilazione meccanica

INTRODUCTION: The esophageal balloon calibration (Ebc) has been proposed by Mojoli et al to evaluate the accuracy of esophageal pressure (Pes) measurement both in vitro and in clinical setting.[1, 2]

OBJECTIVES: The study aim was to investigate feasibility of Ebc during robotic uro-gynecological surgeries with application of trendelemburg position (tp), pneumoperitoneum (pp), and positive end-expiratory pressure (Peep). Furthermore, during whole study period, were evaluated inspiratory (PesI) and expiratory (PesE) Pes and related swings at 3 different filling volume of esophageal balloon: volume associated with the largest tidal increase of Pes (Vbest), Vbest corrected to esophageal wall pressure (VbestC), and volume suggested by the manufactures, 4ml (V4).

METHODS: A catheter with esophageal and gastric balloons was inserted 13 elective patients undergoing volume controlled mechanical ventilation for robotic uro-gynecological surgery. Pesl and PesE and related swings were recorded and computed at Vbest, VbestC, and V4 over study period (T0: before start surgery; T1: pneumoperitoneum + trendelemburg position application; T2 and T3: 20 and 60 minutes from peep application; T4: end surgery). Futhermore, Vbest trend was post hoc analized at the same steps.

RESULTS: Ebc was successfully applied in all patients enrolled. PesE at Vbest and VBestC was lower than PesE at V4 during all study steps (P< 0.05). PesI both at VBest and VbestC were significantly smaller with respect V4 (p< 0.05). Swing was not different between the 3 filling volumes. Vbest was higher at T2 and T3 than at T0 (p< 0.05).

CONCLUSION: Ebc is feasible in patients undergoing robotic uro-gynecological surgery. Finally, reliability of Pes measurement is improved by Ebc, confirming Mojoli findings also in operating room.[2]

REFERENCES

1. Mojoli F. et al (2015) Minerva Anestesiol 81:855-864.

2. Mojoli F. et al (2016) Crit Care 20:98.